



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,216	08/01/2003	Mehul Patel	1400-10 (1504)	3237

7590 06/07/2005

George Likourezos, Esq.  
Carter, DeLuca, Farrell & Schmidt, LLT  
Suite 225  
445 Broad Hollow Road  
Melville, NY 11747

EXAMINER
----------

PAIK, STEVE S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2876

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/633,216	Applicant(s) PATEL ET AL.	
	Examiner Steven S. Paik	Art Unit 2876	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Steven S. Paik. (3)\_\_\_\_\_

(2) Judy Naamat (39311). (4)\_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 03 June 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: Bunte et al. (US 6,249,008).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant proposed to add a phrase, "the consecutive imaging using the", between the words, "the" and "first" in line 6 of claim 1 to further clarify the claimed invention. The applicant stated that the prior art lacks the claimed step of consecutively imaging an optical code. The applicant further stated that the claimed invention uses a pixel signal for evaluating image data. A formal response will be filed including aforementioned amendment and remarks.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
STEVEN S. PAIK  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required